```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
                                                            JS - 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
 9
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
   LORI LUCKER, an individual,
                                       Case No. CV 08-04732 DDP (SSx)
11
                   Plaintiff,
                                       ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT
12
                                       WITHOUT PREJUDICE
13
         v.
                                       [Motion to Dismiss filed on
   ESKANOS & ADLER, P.C., a
                                       October 27, 2008]
    California professional
15
   corporation,
                   Defendants.
16
17
18
         This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Eskanos &
```

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Eskanos & Adler's Motion to Dismiss. Because this Motion is unopposed, the Court dismisses Lucker's Complaint without prejudice.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Lori Lucker ("Lucker") filed this suit against
Eskanos & Adler ("E & A" or "Defendant") on July 18, 2008. Her
Complaint alleges violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices
Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the California Fair
Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq. On
October 27, 2008, E & A filed this Motion to Dismiss for failure to
state a claim on which relief may be granted pursuant to Federal
Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). In its Motion, E & A argues that

Lucker's claims are barred by the FDCPA's one-year statute of
limitations because Lucker alleges that calls began on or about
January 8, 2007, and continued for several months thereafter.

Additionally, E & A argues that, if the Court dismisses the FDCPA claims, it should also decline to exercise supplemental
jurisdiction over the California claims.

The Local Rules for this District require that an opposing
party file a written brief in opposition to the motion or a written statement of non-opposition at least fourteen days before the date

party file a written brief in opposition to the motion or a written statement of non-opposition at least fourteen days before the date designated for the hearing. <u>See</u> C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-9. That deadline was November 10, 2008. As of the date of this Order, Lucker has not made a responsive filing.

Without reaching the merits of Defendant's argument, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

_ ′

18 Date

Dated: November 19, 2008

DEAN D. PREGERSON

United States District Judge